

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Offico Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/529,010	11/22/2000		Andreas Voigt	051480-5017	1235	
9629	7590	12/28/2001				
		& BOCKIUS	EXAMINER			
1800 M STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5869				DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2834		
				DATE MAILED: 12/28/2001	DATE MAILED: 12/28/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				r
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	+
		09/529,010	VOIGT ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Thomas M. Dougherty	2834	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department of the plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 L	December 2001 .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowardsed in accordance with the practice under			
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	l.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	)		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)🛛 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on 22 November 2000 is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) 🗌 🗅	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	eved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	-		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>			
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.	
Attachment		- p andor 00 0.0.0. 33 120	MIM/VI IEI.	
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>9</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
C Detent and To	Adamak Office	, <del>_</del>		

Application/Control Number: 09/529,010

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter. At a minimum replace each instance of the word "actor" with "actuator" or something similar which better describes the piezoelectric component.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. For example, the word "actor" is used to describe a piezoelectric device. "Actuator" is more appropriate. *Claim* 

## Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2834

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by any of Montgomery (US 5,116,908), Hase (US 5,557,954 and 5,675,886), Harris et al. (US 6,135,234), d'Agnolo (US 4,174,799) or Jendritza et al., in their article entitled Piezopower, and under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ngo (US 6,265,810 B1). Each shows an elastic hollow body into which holes are made. Montgomery is exemplary (fig. 4), his elastic hollow body is 40 and it has holes 45. Whether the body is intended for use as containment for an actuator as Montgomery shows is not further limiting to the structure itself as claimed and therefore this carries no patentable weight. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Regarding how the device is made, this too lacks patentable weight. The method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability fo the device itself.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/529,010

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1-10 and 13-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to show or fairly suggest, singly or in combination, a housing for a piezoelectric actuator which has dumbbell shaped holes punched in it.

Claim 12 has not been considered as it has been non-elected in paper 10 in response to the restriction requirement of paper 8.

Direct inquiry concerning this action to Examiner Dougherty at (703) 308-

1628.

December 21, 2001

THOMAS M. DOUGHERTY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2480

Glimas M. Quy